Alabama SSIP Evaluation Plan

Objective	Evaluation Questions	Method and Instrument	Persons Responsible	Timing
1.3	Were at least 27 schools selected every three years?	Count of districts selected, by region and size of district.	Director	April, annually
1.3	Did 80% of the districts selected report they had knowledge about project expectations?	Stakeholder Survey question for district and school leaders.	Evaluator	May, annually
1.4, 2.1, 3.1	Did 80% of participants view the training as high-quality, relevant, and useful?	Analyze post-evaluation ratings and comments for each training.	Evaluator	Dec, annually
1.4, 2.1, 3.1	Did training participants average a 15% gain, or at least 75%, on training learning measures?	Analyze learning measure gains on pre/post or retrospective-post training evaluation forms.	Evaluator	Ongoing
1.4	Were 90% of trainings delivered with fidelity?	Observe trainings and complete HQPD v. 3 for training events.	PL Coordinator	Ongoing
1.4, 3.1	Did 75% of families have greater awareness of transition content?	Analyze Stakeholder Survey parent questions. Parent Interviews.	Evaluator	May, annually & Sept
1.4, 3.1	Do 80% of SSIP participants report increased capacity to implement transition services, curriculum, and prepare for PSO?	Analyze Stakeholder Survey content capacity questions by audience.	Evaluator	May, annually
1.5	Did 80% of coaching participants report coaching enhanced their skills?	Analyze Stakeholder Survey questions for coaching	Evaluator	May, annually
1.5	Were 90% of the coaches able to coach with fidelity?	Observe and complete High-Quality Coaching Assessment for coaches.	Coaching Coordinator	Jan-Mar, annually

Objective	Evaluation Questions	Method and Instrument	Persons Responsible	Timing
1.5	Do more schools have school/community work options?	Analyze Stakeholder Survey. Interview w/ sample of G2 teachers.	Evaluator	May, annually & Nov-Feb.
1.5, 2.2, 3.2	Were at least 25 transition resources identified/developed and disseminated over 5 years?	Count of resources on the website. Activity Log data.	Implementation Specialist	July, annually
1.5, 2.2, 3.2	Did the transition resources page receive 2,000 views per year?	Review GA4 Google analytics dashboard.	Implementation Specialist	Biannually
1.5, 2.2, 3.2	Did 75% of participants rate SSIP transition resources as high-quality?	Analyze Stakeholder Survey resources question. Parent interview.	Evaluator	May, annually & Sept.
1.6	Did 80% of cohort participants report more skills re. data-based decision-making?	Analyze Stakeholder Survey capacity question.	Evaluator	May, annually
1.6	After 3 years of implementation, do 70% of districts demonstrate fidelity with their transition programs?	AL-Quality Indicators of Transition (QITP) collected with Transition Teams and Coaches.	Transition Coordinator	Jan-Feb, annually
1.6	After 1 year, can 75% of teachers teach an EB transition curriculum with fidelity?	Analyze AL Transition Teaching Form self-assessment & observations.	Evaluator	Feb-Mar annually
1.7	Did Transition Team members and administrators report more collaboration?	Analyze Stakeholder Survey collaboration question.	Evaluator	May, annually
1.7	Did Transition Teams score 80% or higher on team functioning?	Analyze Team Functioning Scale results & compare the prior year's data.	Evaluator	Nov, annually
1.7, 3.1, 3.2	Do districts and schools report more community partnerships?	Count of Transition Team members, and review of Team minutes.	Transition Coaches	May, annually
1.7	Do 80% of Transition Team members report more collaboration?	Stakeholder Survey data for Team members.	Evaluator	Feb, annually
1.8, 2.4	Do 90% of SSIP Team & Coaches have a greater capacity to support cohorts?	Staff Survey and interviews/focus group of coaches.	Evaluator	May, annually & Oct.

Objective	Evaluation Questions	Method and Instrument	Persons Responsible	Timing
2.3	Did ALSDE-SES staff share resources regarding Post-School Outcome data collection?	Review of ALSDE website, Activity Log, and conference/meeting presentations.	SSIP Director, Evaluator	Aug, annually
2.3	Did every LEA in the cohort collect Indicator 14 data as described in the PSO guidance document?	Review of Indicator 14 data by LEA. PSO Notes document by the evaluator.	Evaluator, SSIP Director	Dec, Feb, annually
2.3	Was the Indicator 14 response rate at or above 65%?	Calculation of responses and leavers for Indicator 14 analyses.	Evaluator	Dec, annually
Obj. 1-3	Were 85% of SSIP activities conducted as proposed each year?	Review of Management Plan and SSIP Team meetings.	Evaluator, SSIP Director	2 times/year
Obj. 3	Did 70% of parents report more satisfaction with transition services? Improved communication?	Theme parent interview results for why satisfied, changes in services changes in communication.	Evaluator	Sept, annually
Obj. 1, 3	Do parents report 55% of SWD in grades 7-12 participate in their IEP meetings?	Analyzed Indicator 8 AL Parent Survey by SSIP district/grade.	Evaluator	Dec, annually
Obj. 1	Did SSIP districts show gains in college & career-ready scores after 3 years?	Analyze AL Report Card CCR data for districts compared to baseline.	Evaluator	Dec, annually
Obj. 1-3	After 3 years of implementation, do SSIP districts average 65% for Indicator 14b?	Analyze PSO Indicator 14b data compared to the district baseline.	Evaluator	Dec, annually